

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/965,253 09/26/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		Jeffrey Johnson	42390P12455	
8791 7	590 05/06/2004	EXAMINER		
	OKOLOFF TAYLOR	NGUYEN, DANNY		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/965,253	09/965,253		JOHNSON, JEFFREY		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Danny Ngu	yen	2836	AN		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the o	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event a reply within the statuto briod will apply and will e tatute, cause the applica	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from cons					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortile oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) be rrection is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u></u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority documen reau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
2) Notic 3) Infor	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. tr No(s)/Mail Date	5/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Date: Notice of Informal Paper:	ate)-152)		

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/02/2004 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1, 11, and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-12, 15-17, 19-22, 25-27, 29, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yue et al. (USPN 6,509,779) in view of Ker (USPN 5,901,022).

Art Unit: 2836

Regarding claims 1, 10, 11, 20, Yue discloses a method and an apparatus (see figures 3 and 4) comprises an inductor (110) having an impedance connected in series between an output of a high frequency circuit (20) operating at a frequency and an ESD circuit (40) configured to protect the high frequency circuit from an ESD event, the impedance having substantially high value at that frequency and a substantially low value at the ESD event (e.g. col. 3 and 4, lines 63-4). Yue does not disclose an ESD clamping circuit as claimed. Ker discloses an ESD protection circuit (e.g. see fig. 8) comprises an ESD clamp circuit (500) is connected to an inductor (L) via an ESD circuit (400) to protect electrostatic discharge phenomena. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified to the ESD protection circuit of Yue to incorporate the ESD clamping circuit as taught by Ker in order to protect IC circuit against ESD damage (col. 1, lines 20-26).

Regarding claims 21, 30, Yue discloses a circuit (such as fig. 3 and 4) comprises a high frequency circuit operating at a frequency (e.g. 100), the high frequency circuit having an output (20); an electrostatic discharge ESD circuit (40) configured to protect the high frequency circuit from an ESD event (col. 4, lines 9-15); an inductor (110) having an impedance connected in series between an output of a high frequency circuit (20) operating at a frequency and an ESD circuit (40) configured to protect the high frequency circuit from an ESD event, the impedance having substantially high value at that frequency and a substantially low value at the ESD event (e.g. col. 3 and 4, lines 63-4). Yue does not disclose an ESD clamping circuit as claimed. Ker discloses an ESD protection circuit (e.g. see fig. 8) comprises an ESD clamp circuit (500) is connected to

Art Unit: 2836

an inductor (L) via an ESD circuit (400) to protect electrostatic discharge phenomena. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified to the ESD protection circuit of Yue to incorporate the ESD clamping circuit as taught by Ker in order to protect IC circuit against ESD damage (col. 1, lines 20-26).

Regarding claims 2, 12, 22, Yue discloses the ESD circuit (40) has first and second terminals, the first terminal being connected to one end on the inductor (110), and the second terminal being connected to ground (shown in fig. 3).

Regarding claims 5, 15, 25, Yue et al. disclose the inductor is connected between a first bond pad (10) of the output and a second bond pad (10a) of the ESD circuit (40) on a package substrate in a package encapsulating the high frequency circuit (100d) and the ESD circuit (40) (see fig. 13).

Regarding claims 6, 16, 26, Yue discloses connecting the inductor (110) comprise connecting one end of the inductor to the first bond pad (10) via a first bond wire; and connecting an other end of the inductor to the second bond pad (10a) via a second bond wire.

Regarding claims 9, 19, 29, Yue discloses the high frequency higher than 1 gigahertz (col. 4, lines 24-25).

Regarding claim 7, 17, 27, Yue et al. disclose the high frequency circuit and ESD circuit are on a silicon die mounted on the package substrate (see abstract).

4. Claims 3, 4, 13, 14, 23, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yue in view of Ker, and further in view of Kleveland et al (USPN

Art Unit: 2836

5,969,929). Yue and Ker do not disclose the ESD circuit is a gate grounded NMOS and a diode. Kleveland discloses an ESD circuit being a gate grounded NMOS (such as 330 shown in fig. 3B) and an ESD circuit being a diode (116 shown in fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the ESD circuit of Yue and Ker with a GGNMOS and a diode as taught

by Kleveland in order to protect ESD event (col. 5, lines 49-53).

5. Claims 8, 18, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yue et al in view of Young et al, and further in view of Chiu (USPN 6,414,849). Yue and Ker do not disclose the package is flip-chip BGA package. Chiu discloses the package is flip-chip BGA package (col. 5, line 35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the integrated circuit of Yue and Ker to use a flip-chip BGA package as taught by Chiu in order to reduce stress in the IC circuit (Chiu, col. 5, lines 48-51).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-2054. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)-272-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,253 Page 6

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN DN 4/27/2004

BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800